

**REBELLION THE SECOND TIME**

14-Aug-2006

Dear Barb,

Below is a reply to your comments of Tuesday, August, 8<sup>th</sup>, 2006. I make no apologies for the length of this letter except to say that it fully addresses your last E-mail and deals with most, if not all, of its intricate themes. I urge all Davidians to take the necessary time which I have taken to prepare it and carefully peruse this letter in its entirety. Only thusly will you avoid any further confusion. In so doing, you will also avoid a theology which will eventually inspire

**REBELLION THE SECOND TIME**~~~~~Your extensive E-mail has gone far a field and has resultantly muddied the waters which the MSC has clarified. The cause of this may be due to an unwillingness on your behalf to fully “buy” or doctrinally invest into the *Righteousness of Christ*. For He says,

**“I the Lord speak righteousness, I declare things that are right...Remember the former things of old: for I am God, and there is none else; I am God, and there is none like me, declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times the things that are not yet done, saying, My counsel shall stand, and I will do all my pleasure”** —Isa 45: 19; 46: 9.

I urge you to never elevate above the Word those themes which suit your taste, and I also compel you to cease to reject the Bible-validated themes which disagree with your very own opinions. This approach to doctrine is termed “self-righteousness”. As you ponder this personal petition, search your soul to enquire why you would presume that there are others gods, others like Christ, others who speak righteousness and who declare the end from the beginning? **“He says, there is none like Me, declaring the end from the beginning!”** Thus, if Christ says that Israel should use the Passover experience so as to commemorate before their children their freedom from slavery, if He would additionally command them to consume the Pascal Lamb forever, and then to top it off, tell us during His personal ministry that the Passover will be fulfilled in the Kingdom, then why would you ignore this counsel and seek to elevate the writings, of EGW, VTH, Jones and Waggoner, to counter that which the Lord has spoken? Why would you do so when EGW and VTH, Holy Ghost inspired prophets, demanded that you never elevate their work above the Bible? As I view the matter, the only possible reason could be because you believe that there is another channel to righteousness, that there are others like Him. And that these highly esteemed idols of your appointing speak righteousness; they declare things that are right. This is the only reason which could possibly explain your violation of the SOP commands —statements promised to preserve us from deception— to never exalt any work above the Bible.

If perchance, you soften your heart and decide to take Christ at His word, you must begin to trust Him to deliver His counsel to this generation by the King James Version KJV, the only valid version, and you must heed VTH’s counsel and cease the pretentious approach of using foreign and dead languages to alter Bible themes when such themes disagree with your cherished idols, ideas. For how can any benefit from the Lord’s righteous communications if they are delivered in a language which we do not now speak: how could we validate that His counsel shall stand? This means that whenever you are confronted with a text that disagrees with your preconceived ideas, do not try to change it by going to some other version so as to mold the Scriptures after your likeness

## REBELLION THE SECOND TIME

or your image. Rather than doing so, simply show respect for Christ and change your ideas as you realize that He speaks righteousness —not Barbara Baker, her friends, her gurus, nor any of her other favorite high priest of self-righteousness. Remember, He says, “**there are none like Me**”. If perchance, you choose to harden your heart against this wisdom, then you are living a tragic fantasy. But, hypothetically speaking, if you are right in your proud and stiff-necked thinking and are justified should you choose to harden your heart against this counsel, then you and your helpers behind the scene have an important decision to make as you fantasized yourselves as saved saints in the Kingdom of Christ. One of you must volunteer for a very difficult assignment: you must relay your findings to Christ. You must re-introduce Christ to your more highly esteemed brethren, Jones & Waggoner, EGW, VTH and convince Christ to cast aside His declarations and to listen carefully to the counsel of those whom you have elevated above His word. You must, in the Kingdom, assume the burden to persuade the Lord to mimic their themes and their declarations, for —according to your profession— they know how to speak better than does He, the Lord. That’s right! —you must tell the Lord that He needs to undergo speech therapy so that He may better communicate the Father’s Will to all the redeemed. If perchance you can successfully surmount that hurdle, you have another problem: you must convince the unfallen angels that you yourselves do indeed deserve eternal life while their former friends and loved ones, the fallen angels who followed Lucifer, should be consigned to hell and eternal damnation. For if your argument is correct, that Christ has a speech impediment whereby He issues commands that require others to clarify, then Satan’s entire argument against Christ, whereby he attacked Christ’s righteousness, is by your profession, rendered valid. So you must explain to the unfallen angels why you get salvation and their loved ones do not. I know it sounds fanciful, but it is not an exaggeration in analysis. Examine you’re below positions so as to confirm the validity of this reasoning:

- **Christ said that He would clandestinely speak through the Prophet described in *Deut 18*; you disagree.**
- **He never commanded vegetarianism; you desire to change His position on consuming the Passover in the Kingdom based upon a love for that non-Scriptural doctrine.**
- **Your elevation of that honorable discipline above the standard of diet defined in *Lev 11* insinuates, like Lucifer in Heaven, that Christ is wrong and His diet which He pronounced clean is in fact unclean or dirty. And, to add insult to blasphemy, many of your SDA soul mates, if not you yourself, elevate the consumption of man-made, chemically-engineered, test-tube and beaker products to be superior for human health.**
- **Christ said that the Passover would commemorate Israel’s freedom from slavery. You challenge that point by spiritualizing the Egyptian experience.**
- **He condemns the oppressors like Pharaoh; you desire to sup with them in the Passover experience —insultingly using the liberation theme of that feast to ritualistically elevate to the Lord’s sacred table of liberation those who embrace tyranny and oppression.**
- **He said that the Pascal Lamb would be eaten by Israel forever. You reject that simple statement.**
- **He said that the Passover, and the consumption of the Pascal Lamb has not yet been fulfilled and will not be fulfilled until the Kingdom. You are at war with that statement.**
- **He promised to elevate the sin overcoming son of David to rule eternally upon his throne. You disagree.**
- **He told Daniel (see Dan seven) that the Kingdom will emerge from the sanctuary procession; you insist, by re-defining the household ordinance of the Passover and its accompanying Pascal Lamb feast to represent Jesus’ death on Calvary, that this none-sanctuary festival, the Passover, a feast which even the Lord, during His ministry, did not consume in the sanctuary, represent the remission of our sins. You do so even though no such commemoration was thusly established by Christ.**
- **You ignore the Hebrew sacrament that was designed to represent Jesus death, that is the sin-removing *Lamb of God* theme described in *Lev 14*. It was a ritual orchestrated by the priest; it slew an unblemished lamb; it occurred in the Sanctuary; it was established to atone and to cleanse humanity from sin. Finally, unlike the Passover, it was not commanded to last forever, and the Lord did not promise that it would be fulfilled in the Kingdom.**
- **You deny the simple Bible theme which relays that Jesus was conceived in the womb and that, by that medium, He shall be called the Son of God.**

## *The Mustard Seed Advent, 14-Aug-2006*

---

- You either ignore or deny Christ very own statement that the Father is greater than He, Christ, and His statement that “none are good but God”. A statement made to rebuke a man who called Him, Christ, “good Master”. (see Mark 10:17) You do so to advance themes of those such as Jones and Waggoner.
- You show many other orientations which imply that Christ was a poor communicator whose word cannot be trusted.

Thus, the unfallen angels will wonder, why do you get salvation when their loved ones, fallen angels who made the same basic arguments against the righteousness of Christ were cast out of Heaven and consigned to hell. You and your helpmeet are setting the stage for the rise of *Rebellion the Second Time*, should you be saved, unless, you respond affirmatively to this altar call to soften your heart. To aid you to accept this appeal to give your heart to the Lord, you should be reminded that every generation, since the beginning of time has had to do what the Lord now implores you to do. That is to

**RISE TO EMBRACE UNPOPULAR DOCTRINES.** ~~~~~ Every generation, without fail was required to abandon some pet doctrine which they have deeply embedded into their minds, their customs, and traditions. Each time in their fight against advanced light, there were highly respected scholars who pointed to the prophets of yesterday, and they did so in order to resist the light which the Lord has sent them. For example: Consider the very work of Jesus. They accused Him of being a sinner. Their narrow understanding of yesterday’s prophets, just like yours, distorted their concepts of today’s men of God. Just as you recoil against the teachings of the MSA about the nature of Christ, they did likewise. In fact they petitioned Pilate to kill Jesus simply because He claimed to be the Son of God. Such a reaction may now seem to us unreasonable, but that is only because we have had 2000 years to adjust to the theme that God had begotten a Son. As a second example, consider, the work of EGW, the themes that you now are asked to consider are no different in magnitude than the stress of becoming a Sabbath-keeper in 1846. It has only been recent, in this generation, that the world has become tolerant to the Saturday-Sabbath ordination, but the pioneers greatly suffered in society because the Lord required them to go against the grain of tradition. Consider the hatred which the *Rod* has inspired within the church. It is not so bad today as it was historically: 30 or 40 years ago, the mere mention of Davidia or The *Shepherd’s Rod* inspired extreme hatred. Consider, Martin Luther, he suffered greatly also. The list goes on and on. Today, so as to save the world, Christ desires eternal trail blazers and “pathfinders” to emerge from Davidia; yet, many act as if they expected a rose-covered path. Anyone can follow such a beaten path; but, to become “...*a great people and a strong, there hath not been ever the like, neither shall be any more after it*” —Joel 2: 2— you must prove to the universe your love for the Lord, only then will your name be worthy for the book of remembrance. “

*“The children of Abraham here addressed, therefore, are not to be sought among the identified unbelieving Jews but among the Christians. They are admonished to look to Abraham and Sarah, and to consider that when God called Abraham, though he was alone, he nevertheless obeyed and God blessed him; that in spite of all the apparent impossibilities with both him and Sarah, He increased him. What if you personally and alone were called by His word. As was Abraham, to stand alone for Truth and righteousness, would you be a hero for God as was Abraham, or would you do as did backsliding Judas Iscariot?”*

*“If we were not privileged to choose as was Abraham, God would not have reminded us of Abraham’s experiences. We are plainly told not to lose courage but to have faith in God, for He intends to bless and increase us, as He blessed and increased our ancestors, Abraham and Sarah...”*

*“We are asked to hearken to the Lord because a law and a judgment are to proceed from Him. These are to be ‘a light to the people.’”* —VT Houteff, 1TG 37, p 12 & 13.

Clearly, the Lord calls all Davidians to rise to embrace unpopular, yet Bible-based doctrines. The MSC has communicated these themes and has especially sought to

**GLORIFY BOTH JESUS AND CHRIST** ~~~~~ Tradition has upheld your profession that the Pascal Lamb symbolized the death of Jesus. The MSC, as instructed by VTH &

## REBELLION THE SECOND TIME

EGW, has defied convention and presumption by opening the Bible for clarification. Resultantly, it has shown that the Pascal Lamb symbolizes the Dove which rested upon Jesus. This Dove was Christ, the Word. Since you disagree, you must answer the question and define for Davidia which of the dual manifestations of the Lord's work described in *Deut 18*, is represented by the Pascal Lamb: was it symbolic of the Prophet, Jesus, or did it symbolize Christ, the Divine power who was promised to invisibly abide upon the Prophet during His three-and-one-half-year ministry? This is my second request for an answer to this question. It is key for several reasons and can no longer be ignored by you: **FIRST**, the Lord defined His ministry from that very context, *Deut 18*. **SECOND**, Christ promised to fulfill the Passover in the Kingdom. **THIRD**, understanding the Pascal Lamb in the context of *Deut 18* is key as it eliminates the imposters and defines and identifies the true Christians. The consequences are very sobering, and many such as you are discoursing with Davidians about this subject; yet, quite ironically, they may not even be a Christian in the view of Christ. Before reading the Lord's confirmation on this point, consider what EGW said. She claimed that we will know that we are Christians when we open up—not the writings of Jones & Waggoner, nor the SOP, but—the Bible and learn of the “Complete Savior”:

**“How are you to know that you are accepted of God? Study His word prayerfully. Lay it not aside for any other book This book convinces of sin. It plainly reveals the way of salvation. It brings to view a bright and glorious reward. It reveals to you a >>>COMPLETE SAVIOR<<<...Since you are to stand or fall by the word of God, it is to that word you must look for testimony in your case. There you can see what is required of you to become a Christian.”**—EG White, *Testimonies for the Church*, VI p 163 [emphasis added].

Christ agreed. According to His very own profession expressed below, you are not a Christian unless you believe on Him through the testimony of Moses. Christ does not define His commission from some inferential conclusion of Him formulated from the poetry of *Proverbs eight*, a chapter about “wisdom” which declares, **“the LORD possessed me (wisdom) in the beginning of his way, before his works of old”**—Prov 8: 22. Remarkably, your E-mail attempts to use this text to define the Nature of Christ. But, instead of that unrelated text, you should have defined His nature and His symbolic application to the Pascal Lamb from *Deut 18* as requested of you. This is what the Lord conveys: **“For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words”**—John 5: 46, 47. I know of no other direct prophecy from Moses pertaining to the ministry of Jesus. Therefore and quite remarkably, by the Testimony of the Lord Himself—who, by the way is an expert communicator—you are not even considered a Christian, you do not fully believe on Him, unless you believe in the description of Jesus and Christ which Moses left on record in *Deut 18*. The *Rod* also confirms that *Deut 18* conveys Moses' testimony about Jesus/Christ. It likewise shows that those men who do not even believe Moses' portrayal of Christ as expressed in *Deut 18* are not Christians, but are men and women who will never believe Christ. VTH said the following:

**“While the Jews boasted of their faith in Moses writings, Jesus reprehended them by saying: “...had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed Me: for he wrote of me.” John 5: 46 He had reference to —Deut 18: 15—‘The Lord Thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me; unto Him ye shall hearken.’ Of the coming Messiah Zechariah also wrote...”**—VT Houteff 1TG 15 p. 5 (underlined supplied for emphasis).

In this reference, VTH shows it was Moses who wrote of Jesus' ministry in *Deut 18*, the text which you choose to ignore. And Christ said that—never mind what the other prophets have said—you cannot believe Me, you cannot be a Christian, unless your concept of Him is founded in the writings of Moses. Notice, He did not use Paul, EGW, EJ Waggoner, or the Papacy as the benchmark standard to validate ones belief in Christ: only *Deut 18*. Consequently, instead of Davidia seeking to boastfully vaunt themselves to be 100% *Rod* only, they would be wise to go to the Bible so as to first discern if they are true Christians.

I am not without sympathy regarding the intellectual and spiritual fits of convulsions from which you indeed must suffer as you seek continued abidance by yesterday's worm-contaminated manna. After all you must be tempted to reason: did not God deliver it to us as bread yesterday? Why then cannot the same be useful for nourishment today? I know it requires spiritual

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 14-Aug-2006

---

intensity, the likes of which Christianity has never before been required to undergo. Thus is the challenge placed in the laps of the modern disciples. Only they can bear this testimony. But first you must be made to understand that the name of Christ as defined by Scripture was only just revealed recently, in year 2000, by the MSC. Before then, everybody who has studied this issue used the names Jesus and Christ interchangeably, even the MSC. It was the Lord's purpose to hide His presence on Jesus until that time. Thus does the Bible declare that when the Son of David was to emerge, He will receive the commission to distinguish between Christ and Jesus; He will give Christ the credit which is due to Him. Thus did He promise ancient David:

- **“Also the Lord telleth thee that he will make thee an house. And when thy days be fulfilled, and thou shalt sleep with thy fathers, I will set up thy seed after thee, which shall proceed out of thy bowels and I will establish his kingdom. He shall build an HOUSE FOR MY NAME, and I will stablish the throne of his kingdom forever. I will be his father, and he shall be my son. If he commit iniquity, I will chasten him...And this was yet a small thing in thy sight, O Lord God; but thou hast spoken also of thy servant's house for a great while to come.”**—2 Sam 7: 11-14, 19
- **“I have raised up one from the north, and he shall come: from the rising of the sun (Pittsburgh, the North/East) shall he call upon my name...”**—Isa 41: 25
- **“I have made a covenant with my chosen, I have sworn unto David my servant. Thy seed will I establish forever, and build up thy throne to all generations...But my faithfulness and my mercy shall be with him: and in my name shall his horn be exalted”**—Ps 89: 3-25
- **“The Lord's voice crieth unto the city, and the man of wisdom shall see thy name: hear ye the rod, and who hath appointed it”**—Micah 6: 9
- **“All things are delivered to me of my Father: and no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the father is, but the Son, and he to whom the Son will reveal him”**—Luke 10: 22.
- **“Therefore my people shall know my name: therefore they shall know in that day that I am he that doth speak: behold it is I”**—Isa 52: 6

Remarkably, no house was to be built for Christ until David emerges in “that day”, our day. Before David's emergence, the identity of “the complete Savior”, Christ hidden on Jesus, was to be concealed in complete harmony with the demands of Ancient Israel. Thus, throughout Jesus' three-and-one-half-year ministry, He had added to His name, Jesus, the name which Gabriel gave to him, and He taught in Christ's name (Deut 18:19); He was called Jesus Christ. As such and for the next 2000 years, He, Jesus, received all the glory and honor for His very own work and for that of Christ who pre-existed eternally before Jesus' conception. In fact, just as *Isaiah nine* portrays, He was even to be called “the Everlasting Father”. Many more text can be cited to show the Lord's determined intent and purpose: how He intentionally concealed His name, until the proper time, the day when He chooses to restore Israel. Resultantly it can be understood that the only argument which the MSC cannot refute, an argument which it is commonly assailed with, is that its teaching about the duality of Jesus, according to Moses' testimony of *Deut 18*, is unique and never before heralded throughout the history of Christianity. Evidently, hiding His identity so as to challenge this generation of His church was a key component of the *Plan of Salvation*. He, Christ, intended for Jesus to be credited with His very own work in order to glorify Jesus' name in the earth. **“When ye have lifted up the Son of man (Jesus), then shall ye know that I (Christ) am (he [supplied, thus omitted]), and that I do nothing of myself...”**—John 8: 28 This desire to elevate Jesus and Hide His own identity was His, Christ's, petition to the Father at the end of Jesus' three-and-one-half-year ministry. He said,

**“...Father, the hour is come; glorify thy Son, that thy Son also may glorify thee. As thou hast given him power over all flesh, that he should give eternal life to as many as thou hast given him... I have glorified thee on the earth: I have finished the work which thou gavest me to do. And now, O Father, glorify thou me...with the glory which I had with thee before the world was”**—John 17: 1-5.

Clearly, this text shows the duality of Jesus for, while hidden upon Him, Christ prays for two separate people, Jesus, whom He calls “thy Son”, and Himself. He speaks of Jesus in the third person, saying Father glorify Him, and He speaks to the Father on behalf of His very own desires by

## REBELLION THE SECOND TIME

saying, in the very same petition, “Father, glorify thou me”. But the point exceeds that understanding and gets even deeper. Christ acknowledges that the Father had given Jesus—but not Himself—power over all humanity, “all flesh”; that they should petition—not the Father nor Christ, but—Jesus for all their needs. He was given power over all flesh. Meanwhile, He does not ask for the same concerning Himself. Instead, He requested the return of the glory which He had with the Father **“before the World was.”** “Before the World was” is the key expression. This points to a time when Christ had no authority over any humans. There was no earth thus no people to glorify Christ—no one to recognize His name or honor and praise His righteousness, only the unfallen worlds could do so. Not yet created, humanity, **“before the world was”**, did not pray to Christ; they did not seek to abide by His word; they could not credit Him, Christ, for any of His marvelous works. The results of this redistribution of power from Christ’s petition to the Father is that since the advent of Jesus until the emergence of David, Christ’s house builder, all of humanity has taught what Paul’s terms “the principles of the doctrine of Christ”, or “milkology”. They presumed that Jesus pre-existed, and that He was Christ, the Word in the beginning, and this was purposeful; it was an answer to Christ’s prayer in *John 17*. Thus did EGW admonish the church to struggle to discern the intricacies, the science, of this prayer:

**“The instruction given me by One of authority is that we are to learn to ANSWER THE PRAYER RECORDED IN THE SEVENTEENTH CHAPTER OF JOHN. We are to make this prayer OUR FIRST STUDY. Every gospel minister, every medical missionary, is to learn THE SCIENCE OF THIS PRAYER...those who fail to learn the lessons contained in this prayer are in danger of making one-sided developments, which no future training will ever fully correct” —*Testimonies for the Church*, Vol. 8, p. 239 (emphasis added)**

So I urge Davidia to cease to be “one sided” in their understanding of Jesus/Christ. The newly unfolded science of that prayer reveals that only Heaven, these past 2000 years, has intelligently bowed to Christ and worshiped. The world, unable to bear this strong meat until now, honored Jesus as if He were Christ. But we need not languish any longer, David, is on the scene as the one to whom Christ was to reveal Himself (Luke 10: 22). David is now almost fully equipped to glorify both Jesus and Christ and to properly

**PROCLAIM THE GOSPEL COMMISSION.** ~~~~~Your reference to John 1:18 does not establish a foundation for the theme that Jesus pre-existed. Before addressing it, I must explain John 1: 15, a few verses before your cited text. *John the Baptist* (JB), while pointing to Jesus, was quoted to say, **“This was he of whom I spake, He that cometh after me is preferred before me: for he was before me”** —John 1: 15 JB appears to say that Jesus, a younger man by six months, pre-existed before him, and that He will also come after him. But it is unnatural for a six-month-old baby to exult over a newly born child. They both grow together as being the same age. Clearly, this concept of age is not the meaning which the Holy Spirit was communicating through JB. Instead of describing the sequence of their births, He was describing the succession of ministries, for JB had absolutely no historical significance apart from his ministry. First was the ministry of JB, then that of Jesus, the Man to Whom JB pointed. Resultantly, Jesus as a Man did not come after JB in a notable way worthy of mention in John’s sermon; however, as a Ministry He clearly did. Thus, *John the Baptist* explained that the day would come when the Lord would deem his, JB’s, commission to be finished, but his disciples could be comforted because Jesus would receive the proverbial mantel and advance the work of the Lord in a more “preferred” way. His ministry would come after JB’s ministry. Yet, it, Jesus’ ministry, according to this very same record of *John one*, was aided and initiated by the “abiding” Spirit, the Dove (verses 32-34). It could not begin beforehand. Therefore, just as *Deut 18* promised, the Prophet, Jesus’ ministry, was in actuality the ministry of the Dove, Christ hidden upon Jesus. In other words, Christ was to use Jesus’ body to conceal Himself and declare to His people His righteousness. Thus, the Dove resting upon Jesus had a ministry before JB, the Old-Testament Gospel work, and He was to have one after JB. When? —it occurred only when He, the Dove, united with Jesus after Jesus was baptized. In this sense only can we understand JB’s claim that Jesus’ ministry was before his and it would follow his. Jesus’ ministry was to come after that of *John the Baptist* because Christ, the Dove, united with Jesus and the Two became One and began a ministry to which was preferred over that

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 14-Aug-2006

---

of John's. Surely, he pointed to Jesus the man who, at that time was unaided by the presence of Christ. But His statement spoken in future perfect (explained below) did not indicate that Jesus had already come after *John the Baptist*, but that He would, in the future, come after him. If JB was not referring to Jesus' ministry, he would have spoken differently. He would have pointed to Jesus and said, "*This is the Man who came after me; yet, He was before me.*" This non-scriptural statement uses "came" the past tense of the verb, "to come". It is distinguished from JB's testimony because he used the future tense "cometh". Still, the central theme is the fact that, even though the world could not see Him, it was the ministry—not of Jesus alone, but—of Christ: Christ, being without beginning of days had a ministry that was before *John the Baptist* and one that was to come after His. None have ever presented this text to refute the MSC; however, since your reference comes close to this passage, now is the time to make a pre-emptive strike and answer this issue before it is asked.

Now to explain the text which concerns you, verse 18: You attempt to convince Davidia that the following text suggest that Jesus began before the Bible says He began, meaning He pre-existed His conception, "**No man hath seen God at any time: the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him. And this is the record of John when the Jews sent priests and Levites from Jerusalem to ask him, who art thou...**(then the author gives the record)? —John 1: 18, 19. To underscore your concern you must surmount the challenge that comes with *language Arts* especially with the understanding of subject verb agreement, and verbal tenses as they relate to the context, and the complexities of grammar as it relates to past, present, and future perfect tenses. Consider the following examples:

- **PAST PERFECT TENSE, DEFINITION:** *an action or event occurs and is completed before a separate event that is being described to occur.* **EXAMPLE:** Comments: When Jesus arrived on the scene, *John the Baptist* had already preached and completed his sermons predicting Jesus' arrival.
- **PRESENT PERFECT TENSE, DEFINITION:** *an action or event began before a separate event is described, and it continues to pertain during the time of that separate event which is being described.* **EXAMPLE:** When John, the Gospel Writer (Not to be confused with JB) who gave us the book of John recorded the ministry of *John the Baptist*, Jesus had been crucified, resurrected, and ascended to His Father and now is "in the Bosom of God." **Conclusion:** Jesus, years after JB's ministry, was embraced by His Father.
- **FUTURE PERFECT TENSE, DEFINITION:** *an action or event will begin in the future after another future event occurs.* **EXAMPLE:** When the Kingdom is restored, the Passover will have been fulfilled. **Comment:** the Passover has yet to be fulfilled but will be when a specific future event, the Kingdom, occurs.

The Gospel of Saint John was recorded years after Calvary. Thus does He say, "**John bare witness of him, and cried, saying...**" He is giving you a quote of JB's testimony and his ministry. But fully knowing of all the events that would transpire from the date of JB's ministry to AD 70, a hypothetical date which approximates the date in which *John the Gospel writer* made the record, he, the gospel writer, is free to interweave with his account of JB's message and other significant events which may have occurred afterwards, he is not required to be in chronological order. Often times in writing, parenthetical insertions are made to highlight the focus of the author's message. In this case, *John the Gospel writer's* overall focus was the ministry of Jesus; he records the teaching of JB as an introduction or starting reference point. This is the case with the following statement: "**No man hath seen God at any time: the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him. And this is the record of John...**" —John 1: 18, 19. John the Gospel writer is speaking in present perfect tense: at the time of his writing about *John the Baptist*, Jesus had been received into the embrace of His Father, into His bosom. This occurred after He, Jesus, Had finished His personal ministry, after He had declared Him. Your confusion stems from the thinking that JB made the statement recorded in verse 18. Proof that he did not can be seen by examining the statement which immediately follows. It shows that verse 18 is a parenthetical interruption to John's historical account of the work of JB and Jesus. He says, "And this is the record of John (JB)..." The MSC agrees: it teaches that after Jesus declared His Father,

## REBELLION THE SECOND TIME

after He was crucified, was resurrected, taken to Heaven to see God, He received that which we all look forward to, a firm and loving embrace from God, a “well done, thou good and faithful servant”.

Before this embrace can occur, before the Father can say to Davidia, “well done”, we must begin to find ourselves doing well. We must pass His hour of Judgment. We must fully apply to our lives the messages which He delivered to us through Christ during His three-and-one-half-year ministry for the Bible says that those who escape the Slaughter will, “...*keep the commandments of God and have the testimony of Jesus Christ*” —Rev 12: 17. The Bible also shows that the patient saints, the overcoming purveyors of the *Third Angel’s Message* will “...*keep the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus*” —Rev 14: 12. Therefore, the Elijah message sent to Davidia encourages her to follow the instructions given in the *Rod* and the SOP and give the highest primacy to the Bible. Because of this, I urge you to stop measuring the Bible by lesser lights such as JE Waggoner. You quote him to say the following:

*“It is impossible for us to understand how Christ could, as God, humble Himself to the death of the cross, and it is worse than useless for us to speculate about it. All we can do is to accept the facts as they are presented in the Bible. If the reader finds it difficult to harmonize some of the statements in the Bible concerning the nature of Christ, let him remember that it would be impossible to express it in terms that would enable finite minds to grasp it fully. Just as the grafting of the Gentiles into the stock of Israel is contrary to nature, so much of the Divine economy is a paradox to human understanding.”* —Quote of JE Waggoner page 24-26 according to your E-mail.

Jesus said the following: “*Go ye therefore, and teach all nations...teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and lo, I am with you always, even unto the end of the world*” —Matt 28: 19, 20. If you want to be received in the Father’s Bosom, if you desire to pass His judgment, you had better follow His commands, including this one. Therefore, you had better stop elevating the works (worshiping) the teaching of other men above the Bible. This practice reflects Laban’s idolatry which VTH and EGW both resoundingly condemned. Jesus said that He will be with those who teach men to observe the things which He commanded His disciples during His three-and-one-half-year ministry. Instead of teaching men to pass the judgment by observing the works of Jones and Waggoner, VTH, EGW, Paul or any other divinely inspired “milkologists”, men whom Paul said will eventually be required to embrace this “strong meat” message by leaving the principles of the Doctrine of Christ and going on unto perfection, you had better, without delay begin to teach humanity those things which Jesus commanded. He guarantees such good and faithful servants that He will abide with them “even unto the end of the world”. He makes no mention of JE Waggoner. Perhaps no mention is made because Brother Waggoner, is in stark disagreement with the Bible theme of

**PERFECT UNDERSTANDING.** ~~~~~ According to you, Waggoner says the following anti-Bible statement which must be repudiated by all right now based upon Scripture:

*“It is impossible for us to understand how Christ could, as God, humble Himself to the death of the cross, and it is worse than useless for us to speculate about it. All we can do is to accept the facts as they are presented in the Bible. If the reader finds it difficult to harmonize some of the statements in the Bible concerning the nature of Christ, let him remember that it would be impossible to express it in terms that would enable finite minds to grasp it fully.”*

Christ is the perfect communicator, He created our minds to understand complexities. The brethren in the days of Waggoner could not understand because they were dependent upon “milk”. Thus, His statement may have been useful 100 years ago, but not today. The Bible issues no such concept of “impossible understanding” but explains the opposite, “perfect understanding”. In fact, even 2000 years ago when Mary inquired about the nature of Jesus, Gabriel explained it to her so that she fully understood. He told her that the “*power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God.*” —Luke 1: 35. The Church’s problem is that they want to ignore Bible testimony of Jesus’ conception. This is why it is

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 14-Aug-2006

---

impossible for them to understand His nature. They desire to insert into the Word, Papal Triunitarianism. They want to describe Jesus, the Son of God, by some other medium other than the “simple means” described by Gabriel. Thus they will never understand, because Gabriel said the righteous will call Jesus the Son who began—not even by being a person, but— by being a thing, a holy thing. Here are some texts which show that humans can understand the nature of Jesus:

- *“And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures which are able to make thee wise unto salvation...All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine...That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works”*—2 Tim:3: 15-17.

Thus with Scripture we should perfectly understand the doctrine and the good works of the nature of Christ as explained in *Luke one*.

- *“But in the days of the voice of the seventh angel, when he shall begin to sound, the mystery of God should be finished, as he hath declared to his servants the prophets.”*—Rev 10: 7.

God declare the key to His mystery in His word and to His servants the prophets. This mysterious doctrine of His nature is to be hidden until the opening of the Seventh seal, until this very day.

- *“And he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets;...For the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ: Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ.”*—Eph 4: 11-14.

Davidia looks for unity; it will not come to those who refused to abandon the “Principles of the Doctrine of Christ” and who refuse to embrace the doctrine of the fullness of Christ. For it, EGW says you must go—not to J&W nor to her works, but—to the Bible. Only there will you uncover the “Complete Savior”.

- *“And the Lord God said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil”*—Genesis 3: 22.

*Isa seven & eight* shows that, in our day, Immanuel will fully reach this stature and learn to choose the good and refuse the evil. This will allow him to point Davidia to the three-and-one-half-year Ministry of Christ, explain His commands, and give them the secret to pass the Judgment, exactly what VTH promised Elijah would do. As a consequence, Immanuel ‘binds up the testimonies and seals the Law among my (Christ’s) disciples.’ In other words He prepares them to emerge from the Slaughter, so that they may keep the commandments of God and have the Testimony of Jesus Christ. Therefore, will the sons of Adam, after he consumed of the *Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil*, finally become like God and know good and evil—just as the Lord promised. Therefore, being like God, they will perfectly understand His nature as revealed in His word.

Remember the very words of Christ as you seek to understand the above bullet points. He said, He delivered to humanity the commandments of the Father while ignoring His own thoughts, so that we may have life everlasting, which is life without death.:

*“He that rejecteth me, and receiveth not my words, hath one that judgeth him: the word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last day. For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father saith unto me, so I speak.”*—John 12: 48-50.

Clearly therefore, the commands issued by Christ during His ministry, are the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus Christ. Our adherence to these commands will give us perfection of understanding. They will

**COUNTERACT YOUR FALSEHOODS.** ~~~~~I must bring this document to a close; however, before doing so, the record must be set straight. History has taught of the divinity of Christ. The MSC has not rejected His divinity nor that of Jesus; it merely explains it according to God’s word. Your paraphrase accuses me of making the following statement: “If Jesus was to remove His divinity then He would remove the very thing that makes Him divine.”. The MSC makes no such statement. This is an untrue statement one from the Father of Lies. You may not have intended to deceive; however, you have misrepresented my work making you a purveyor of

## *REBELLION THE SECOND TIME*

lies nonetheless. The only remedy for you in this situation is to retract your statement. I show that Jesus, by virtue of His genetic Paternal parentage, is Divine. He obviously cannot remove that. However, Christ, the Spirit who abode upon Jesus is divine in a different manner. By His very own profession, He subordinates His will to that of the Father. This indicates that He has a separate will to that of the Father's or else, how could Christ suppress it? And Since He says, none are good but God, then the Father's will which Christ exalts must be righteous and by subordinating His will to that of the Father, this is His Righteousness; it is His Divinity. Please review the end of the book, 4.1 if further clarification is required. However, this explanation is Bible based and far exceeds the confusion which you hand down to Davidia from Elder Waggoner. His statement, in essence proclaims, after much verbiage, that we will never understand the nature of Christ.

This rather lengthy document was carefully composed to address the heretical claims of your last E-mail. I now urge everyone who reads it to surrender your heart to Jesus, cast aside your pride of opinion, to confess your idolatry, to abandon the principles of the doctrine of Christ, to now obey the theme of the Passover and fully consume the Flesh and Blood of Christ, His Testimony, and to unite your voice and your efforts with that of this author to advance the cause of the Lord's kingdom. Increase your talents and receive the Lord's commendations so that you may become rulers over cities. As you seek to do so, you will abide by the gospel commission and will win the approval of the Commissioner, Whom the Father has glorified as Christ received His glory that He had before the world was.

Sincerely,

Derek